	Case 1:24-cv-00132-KES-BAM Docume	nt 11	Filed 06/13/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	VICTOR HOUX,	N	o. 1:24-cv-00132-K	ES-BAM (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND		
13	v.	A	RECOMMENDATIONS TO DISMISS ACTION, WITH PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, FAILURE TO OBEY COURT ORDER, AND FAILURE TO PROSECUTE		
14	GONZALES, et al.,	C			
15	Defendants.				
16			Ooc. 10)		
17	Plaintiff Victor Houx is a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this				
18	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States				
19	magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.				
20	On June 25, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued a screening order finding that				
21	plaintiff failed to state a cognizable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and granting plaintiff leave to				
22	file a first amended complaint or a notice of voluntary dismissal within thirty (30) days. Doc. 6.				
23	Plaintiff was warned that failure to comply with that order would result in a recommendation for				
24	dismissal of this action, with prejudice, for failure to obey a court order and for failure to state a				
25	claim. Id. at 8. On July 15, 2024, the magistrate judge granted plaintiff's motion for an extension				
26	of time to file an amended complaint and extended the deadline to August 27, 2024. Docs. 7, 8.				
27	Plaintiff did not file an amended complaint and has not otherwise communicated with the Court				
28	since July 12, 2024.				
		1			

Case 1:24-cv-00132-KES-BAM Document 11 Filed 06/13/25 Page 2 of 2

On September 11, 2024, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending dismissal of this action, with prejudice, for failure to state a claim, failure to obey a court order, and failure to prosecute. Doc. 10. Those findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were due within fourteen days after service. *Id.* at 10. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations and the time to do so has passed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly:

- 1. The findings and recommendations issued on September 11, 2024, Doc. 10, are adopted in full;
- 2. This action is dismissed, with prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to state a claim, failure to obey a court order, and failure to prosecute; and
- 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 12, 2025

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE